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Abstract

We present a novel theory of Fermat paths of light between a known visible scene and an unknown object not in the line of sight of a transient camera. These light paths either obey specular reflection or are reflected by the object’s boundary, and hence encode the shape of the hidden object. We prove that Fermat paths correspond to discontinuities in the transient measurements. We then derive a novel constraint that relates the spatial derivatives of the path lengths at these discontinuities to the surface normal. Based on this theory, we present an algorithm, called Fermat Flow, to estimate the shape of the non-line-of-sight object. Our method allows, for the first time, accurate shape recovery of complex objects, ranging from diffuse to specular, that are hidden around the corner as well as hidden behind a diffuser. Finally, our approach is agnostic to the particular technology used for transient imaging. As such, we demonstrate mm-scale shape recovery from pico-second scale transients using a SPAD and ultrafast laser, as well as micron-scale reconstruction from femto-second scale transients using interferometry. We believe our work is a significant advance over the state-of-the-art in non-line-of-sight imaging.

1. Introduction

Most computer vision research assumes that the scene of interest is directly visible to the camera. In other words, the photons from a source that reach a camera are assumed to have interacted with only the visible scene. However, some of the source photons are reflected by the visible scene toward parts—say, the back of an object facing a camera, an object around a corner, or an object viewed through a diffuser — that are hidden from the direct line of sight of the camera. In turn, the hidden scene scatters the photons back toward the visible scene, which then redirects photons toward the camera. Imaging and understanding the scene hidden from the camera’s view is of significant importance to many security and safety applications.

Capturing non-line-of-sight (NLOS) photons is challenging as they are vastly outnumbered by line-of-sight (LOS) photons. Passive approaches analyze the subtle umbra and penumbra of the shadow cast by the hidden scene to estimate rough motion and structure [6, 2, 38], or use coherence properties of light to localize hidden objects [5, 3]. These approaches do not have sufficient information to compute precise 3D shape of an unknown arbitrary hidden scene. Extracting additional information about the hidden scene is possible by using active illumination, including coherent lighting [42, 21, 4, 22] and steady-state intensity sources [25, 44, 51, 45]. The majority of approaches for reconstructing hidden shape information employ fast modulated light sources together with time-resolved sensors (e.g., continuous-wave ToF [15, 20], ultrafast photodiodes [24], streak cameras [49, 48, 13], and single-photon avalanche photodetectors (SPADs) [11, 32]). These sensors record not only the number of incident photons (intensity) but also their arrival times, at a range of temporal resolutions (milli- to femto-seconds) [49, 12, 31, 32, 11, 18]. Such measurements are called transients and the approach is called transient NLOS imaging.

By measuring transients at various locations of a known visible scene, most active techniques perform a volumetric 3D reconstruction by attempting to invert the time-resolved radiometric image formation process. Examples include elliptic backprojection [48, 7, 1, 26, 36], regularized linear system approaches [13, 15, 14, 20], the light-cone transform [33], and analysis-by-synthesis using rendering [35, 47]. These methods have two fundamental disadvantages: (1) they rely on radiometric information and existing SPADs produce poor intensity estimates due to effects such as pile-up and after-pulsing [16], as well as due to extreme sensitivity to photon noise and ambient lighting; and (2) to simplify the inverse problem, all existing reconstruction techniques rely on an assumption of Lambertian reflectance for the NLOS object.

In this paper, we overcome the above limitations by developing techniques that use only geometric, rather than intensity, constraints derived from transient measurements of an NLOS scene. For this, we present a new theory of NLOS photons that follow specific geometric paths, called Fermat...
paths} between the LOS and NLOS scene. Based on Fermat's principle [43], we observe that these paths follow either the law of specular reflection or reflect at specific points at the object's boundary. We then prove that Fermat paths correspond to discontinuities in the transient measurements. The temporal locations of the discontinuities are a function of only the shape of the NLOS object and not its reflectance (BRDF). We additionally show that the shape of the transient around the discontinuity is related to the curvature of the hidden surface. This theory generalizes previous work on the paths of first-returning photons [46], which are a special case of Fermat paths.

We use the above theory to derive an algorithm, called Fermat flow, for accurate NLOS shape reconstruction. We show that the spatial derivative of the Fermat pathlength provides a simple constraint that uniquely determines both the depth and normal of a hidden scene point. This derivative is estimated numerically by fitting a smooth pathlength function to a sparser set of measurements. We then apply a final refinement step that computes a smooth mesh by combining both the depth and normal information [23, 9]. While most previous approaches reconstruct an albedo volume of the NLOS object, our approach is one of the few that reconstruct its surface. Compared to alternative surface reconstruction algorithms based on analysis-by-synthesis from intensity measurements [47], our approach uses only geometric constraints, which makes it BRDF-invariant and robust to imperfections in intensity measurements.

Our theory is agnostic to the specific transient imaging technology used. We validate our theory and demonstrate results at both pico-second and femto-second temporal scales, using a pulsed laser and SPAD for the former and interferometry for the latter. Hence, for the first time, we are able to compute millimeter-scale and micrometer-scale NLOS shapes of curved objects with BRDFs ranging from purely diffuse to purely specular. In addition, our theory applies to both reflective NLOS (looking around the corner) and transmissive NLOS (seeing through a diffuser) scenarios. Figure 1 shows the estimated micrometer-scale relief of a coin seen around the corner as well as through thick paper (diffuser). The obtained height profiles compare well with the reconstruction of the coin when imaged in the line of sight. This result demonstrates the significant theoretical and practical contribution of this work to active NLOS imaging, pushing the boundary of what is possible.

2. Fermat Paths in NLOS Transients

Problem setup. We consider a transient imaging system [19], comprising a light source and detector, located at points \( s, d \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), respectively. Our theory is agnostic to the specific transient imaging technology used, and in Section 4 we describe implementations, one based on a pulsed laser and a picosecond detector, and another based on interferometry. The visible scene \( \mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) is the union of surfaces contained within the common line of sight of the source and detector. In addition to \( \mathcal{V} \), we assume that there exist surfaces outside their line of sight; this could be because either these surfaces are outside the field of view, or they are inside but occluded by another surface. We are only interested in such surfaces that can indirectly receive light from the light source by means of a single reflection or transmission through the visible scene, and can indirectly send light to the detector in a likewise manner. We call the union of such surfaces the non-line-of-sight (NLOS) scene \( \mathcal{X} \). Some situations where these conditions apply, and which will be relevant to our experiments, are shown in Figure 1.

We assume that the light source and detector are illuminating and imaging the same \textit{visible point} \( v \in \mathcal{V} \), which can be any point in the visible scene. This corresponds to the confocal scanning scheme, proposed by O’Toole et al. [33]. We emphasize that this assumption is only to simplify exposition: All of our theory generalizes to the non-confocal
case, as we briefly discuss in various places throughout the paper, and detail in the supplement. In particular, in Section 4, we show results from non-confocal experiments.

The detector records a transient \( I (t; v) \), which equals the irradiance from photons with time of flight \( t \). We assume that all recorded photons follow paths of the form \( s \to v \to \mathbf{x} \to v \to d \), where \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \). This three-bounce assumption is commonplace in NLOS imaging applications, for two reasons: First, NLOS transient imaging systems typically have time-gating mechanisms that can be used to remove direct photons that only interact with the visible scene. Second, photons with more than one interaction with the NLOS scene \( \mathcal{X} \) have greatly reduced signal-to-noise ratio, and in practice are difficult to detect [7, 35].

Finally, we assume that we have calibrated the distance \( \tau_{v} (v) \triangleq \| s - v \| + \| d - v \| \) from the source to the visible point, and from there to the detector. Then, we can use the pathlength traveled in \( \mathcal{X} \), \( \tau \triangleq \text{ct} - \tau_{v} (v) \) where \( c \) is the speed of light, to uniquely reparameterize transients as \( I (\tau; v) \). Under these assumptions, we can write [10, 37]:

\[
I (\tau; v) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} f (\mathbf{x}; v) \delta (\tau - (\mathbf{x}; v)) \, dA (p, q) \tag{1}
\]

where \( \tau (\mathbf{x}; v) \triangleq 2 \cdot \| \mathbf{x} - v \| \), \( (p, q) \in [0, 1]^{2} \) is a parameterization of the NLOS surface \( \mathcal{X} \), \( A (p, q) \) is the corresponding area measure, and the throughput \( f \) absorbs inverse-square fall-off, shading, reflectance, and visibility.

### 2.1. Fermat paths

We assume that the NLOS scene \( \mathcal{X} \) is formed as the union of smooth surfaces, and \( \partial \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{X} \) is the set of points on the NLOS surface where a surface normal is not defined. We will be referring to \( \partial \mathcal{X} \) as the boundary of \( \mathcal{X} \) for simplicity, but note that, in addition to boundary points, it includes points at discontinuous intersections of the smooth surfaces that make up \( \mathcal{X} \). Then, we will be focusing on specific distinguished points \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \) as follows.

**Definition 1.** For any visible point \( v \):

- The specular set \( \mathcal{S} (v) \subset \mathcal{X} \) consists of all points \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \partial \mathcal{X} \) such that the vector \( \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v} \) is orthogonal to the tangent plane \( T_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{X} \) of \( \mathcal{X} \) at \( \mathbf{x} \).

- The boundary set \( \mathcal{B} (v) \subset \partial \mathcal{X} \) consists of all points \( \mathbf{x} \in \nabla \mathcal{X} \) such that the vector \( \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v} \) is orthogonal to the tangent vector \( \hat{\mathbf{t}} (\mathbf{x}) \) of \( \partial \mathcal{X} \) at \( \mathbf{x} \).

- The Fermat set \( \mathcal{F} (v) \subset \mathcal{X} \) is the union of these two sets, \( \mathcal{F} (v) \triangleq \mathcal{S} (v) \cup \mathcal{B} (v) \).

Definition 1 implies that, at points \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S} (v) \), the vector \( \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{x} \) is also parallel to the surface normal \( \hat{n} (\mathbf{x}) \). Equivalently, the path \( p (\mathbf{x}; v) \triangleq \mathbf{v} \to \mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{v} \) corresponds to a specular reflection at \( \mathbf{x} \), explaining the name specular set. The name Fermat set is due to the following classical proposition of geometric optics [17, 8, 29, 43].

**Proposition 2.** Let \( (p, q) \in [0, 1]^{2} \) be a parameterization of the NLOS surface \( \mathcal{X} \). Then, for any visible point \( v \),

\[
\mathcal{S} (v) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} : \nabla_{(p, q)} \tau (p, q) ; v = 0 \} . \tag{2}
\]

Let \( \tau \in [0, 1] \) be a parameterization of the NLOS surface boundary \( \partial \mathcal{X} \). Then, for any visible point \( v \),

\[
\mathcal{B} (v) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \partial \mathcal{X} : \partial \tau (\mathbf{x} ; v) = 0 \} . \tag{3}
\]

For completeness, we provide a proof in the supplement. Proposition 2 is known as Fermat’s principle, and characterizes paths of stationary length with respect to their local variations. We note that, even though Fermat’s principle is often described as the “shortest path principle”, it allows for longest or saddle-point light paths, as we demonstrate later in this section. Depending on \( \mathcal{X} \), \( \mathcal{F} (v) \) will have at least one, and potentially multiple, points, as shown in Figure 2.

We will associate each point \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F} (v) \) with the sphere \( \text{Sph} (\tau (\mathbf{x}; v) / 2; v) \) of center \( v \) and radius \( \tau (\mathbf{x}; v) / 2 \). We call this the tangent sphere, because Proposition 2 implies that, for \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S} (v) \) or \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B} (v) \), the \( \text{Sph} (\tau (\mathbf{x}; v) / 2; v) \) is tangent to \( \mathcal{X} \) or \( \partial \mathcal{X} \), respectively, at \( \mathbf{x} \) [29, 43].

**Relationship to first-returning photons.** Fermat paths are a superset of the paths of the first-returning photons described by Tsai et al. [46]. In particular, the pathlength of the first-returning photon is the global minimum of \( \tau (\mathbf{x}; v) \). Then, Proposition 2 implies that \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F} (v) \). Observations 2 and 3 of Tsai et al. [46], which make an assumption of local smoothness, correspond to the case where additionally \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S} (v) \): Observation 3 describes the specular path \( p (\mathbf{x}; v) \), and Observation 2 describes the tangent sphere.

### 2.2. Fermat pathlengths as transient discontinuities

Except when the BRDF of the \( \mathcal{X} \) surface is perfectly specular, transients \( I (\tau; v) \) will include contributions from photons that follow both Fermat and non-Fermat paths \( p (\mathbf{x}; v) \). Without prior knowledge of the scene, it would seem impossible to identify parts of the transient due to Fermat paths. However, we make the following observation.

**Proposition 3.** Assume that the BRDF of the \( \mathcal{X} \) surface is non-zero in the specular direction. Then, for all \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{F} (v) \), the transient \( I (\tau; v) \) will have a discontinuity at pathlength \( \tau (\mathbf{x}; v) \). If \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S} (v) \), then \( I (\tau; v) \) will additionally have a vertical asymptote at \( \tau (\mathbf{x}; v) \).

**Proof sketch.** We sketch a proof for the specular case, and provide the full proof in the supplement. Let \( \text{Sph} (\rho; v) \) be the sphere of center \( v \) and radius \( \rho \). Let the curve \( C (\rho; v) \) be the intersection of \( \text{Sph} (\rho; v) \) with \( \mathcal{X} \), parameterized by
Proposition 4. Let a transient \( I (\tau; v) \) have a specular discontinuity at \( \tau_S, \) corresponding to a point \( x_S \in S (v) \). If \( \kappa_{\text{min}}, \kappa_{\text{max}} \) are the principal curvatures of \( \mathcal{X} \) at \( x_S, \) then:

- If \( \tau_S \) is a local minimum of \( \tau (x; v), \) \( 2 / \tau_S < \kappa_{\text{min}}. \)
- If \( \tau_S \) is a local maximum of \( \tau (x; v), \) \( \kappa_{\text{max}} < 2 / \tau_S. \)
- If \( \tau_S \) is a saddle point of \( \tau (x; v), \) \( \kappa_{\text{min}} \leq 2 / \tau_S \leq \kappa_{\text{max}}. \)

We provide the proof in the supplement, but we can use Figure 2 to provide intuition: The pathlength \( \tau_{F,2} \) of point \( x_{F,2} \) is a local minimum. All \( \mathcal{X} \) points in the neighborhood of \( x_{F,2} \) are at a distance from \( v \) greater than \( \tau_{F,2}, \) and therefore outside the tangent sphere \( \text{Sph} (\tau_{F,2}; 2; v). \) This implies that the (minimal, in 3D) principal radius of curvature is greater than \( \tau_{F,2}. \) And conversely for the pathlength \( \tau_{F,3} \) of point \( x_{F,3}, \) which is a local maximum. We note that a sufficient condition for \( \mathcal{X} \) to produce only locally-minimum specular pathlengths is that \( \mathcal{X} \) is convex. However, this is not a necessary condition: As explained in Proposition 4, it is possible for \( \mathcal{X} \) to contain concavities and still produce only locally-minimum specular pathlengths.
Figure 3: Experimental demonstration. We measure transients for three objects in a looking-around-the-corner configuration: A plane, a paraboloid, and a concave sphere. We measure each object twice, once with the object covered with diffuse paint, and a second time with the object covered with aluminum foil. As predicted by our theory, the measured transients have discontinuities corresponding to specular paths of type local minimum, saddle point, and local maximum, respectively. Additionally, the location of the discontinuities is not affected by the change in BRDF.

Non-confocal case. The results of this section have straightforward generalizations to the case where the source and detector are pointing at different points \(v_s\) and \(v_d\) on the visible surface \(\mathcal{V}\). Propositions 2 and 3 apply exactly, except that \(\tau(x; v)\) is replaced with \(\tau(x; v_s, v_d) \triangleq \|x - v_s\| + \|x - v_d\|\). The analogue of the tangent sphere is the osculating ellipsoid \(E(\tau; v_s, v_d)\), of pathlength \(\tau\) and foci \(v_s, v_d\). Finally, Proposition 4 can be generalized to analogous relations between the principal curvatures of \(\mathcal{X}\) and \(E(\tau; v_s, v_d)\). We provide details in the supplement.

2.3. Experimental demonstration

To demonstrate our theoretical findings in practice, we use a picosecond-resolution transient imaging setup (see Section 4) to capture measurements of a few real-world objects, in a looking-around-the-corner configuration (Figure 1(a)). Figure 3 shows the objects: A concave hemisphere, an extruded paraboloid, and a plane. All objects have size 20 cm × 20 cm and are painted with diffuse paint.

We place each object at a distance 40 cm from the visible wall, then measure a transient from a visible point such that there is a specular path corresponding roughly to the center of the object. We observe from the measured transients (Figure 3, orange) that, in agreement with Proposition 4, the hemisphere produces a local maximum discontinuity, the paraboloid a saddle point, and the plane a local minimum.

We then cover each object with aluminum foil, to create a rough specular BRDF, and repeat our measurements. We notice that the measured transients (Figure 3, purple) are discontinuous at the same locations as the diffuse transients, in agreement with our discussion of BRDF invariance.

These measurements additionally help evaluate the robustness of our theoretical predictions in the presence of the Poisson noise and temporal jitter inherent in SPAD measurements [16]: Even though the discontinuity shapes deviate from the ideal shapes in the simulated transient of Figure 2, the theoretically predicted features are still visible.

3. Surface Reconstruction Using Fermat Paths

Using the results of Section 2, given a transient measurement \(I(\tau; v)\), we can identify its discontinuities as the lengths \(\tau_F\) of Fermat paths contributing to the transient. Each length \(\tau_F\) constrains the corresponding point \(x_F \in \mathcal{F}(v)\) to lie on the tangent sphere \(\text{Sph}(\tau_F/2; v)\) and, if \(x_F \in \mathcal{S}(v)\), also constrains its normal and curvature. We now develop a procedure for completely determining the point \(x_F\) and its normal. Then, given a collection of Fermat pathlengths, our procedure will produce an oriented point cloud (locations and normals) for the NLOS surface \(\mathcal{X}\). Figure 5 visualizes our reconstruction procedure.

The Fermat flow equation. We begin by introducing a key technical result at the heart of our reconstruction procedure.
We first define the Fermat pathlength function \( \tau_F(v) \):
\[
\tau_F(v) = \{ \tau : I(\tau; v) \text{ is discontinuous} \}.
\]
As each transient \( I(\tau; v) \) can be discontinuous at more than one pathlengths, \( \tau_F(v) \) is a multi-valued function. We now prove the following property for this function.

**Proposition 5.** Consider a branch of the Fermat pathlength function \( \tau_F(v) \) evaluated at \( v \in V \). Assume that there is a unique point \( x_F \in F(v) \) with \( \tau(x_F; v) = \tau_F(v) \). Then,
\[
\nabla_v \tau_F(v) = -2 \frac{x_F - v}{\|x_F - v\|^2}.
\]

We provide the proof in the supplement. It is instructive to consider the case of the branch of \( \tau_F(v) \) corresponding to the global minimum of \( \tau(x; v) \). This branch is the pathlength of the first-returning photons of Tsai et al. [46], and is also equal to twice the distance function \( D(v, X) \), where
\[
D(v, X) \triangleq \min_{x \in X} \|x - v\|.
\]

Then, Equation (7) is equivalent to the well-known eikonal equation for distance functions [30, 40, 39]. In this context, the uniqueness requirement of Proposition 5 is equivalent to a requirement that the minimizer of Equation (8) be unique, which is also a requirement for the eikonal equation. Proposition 5 generalizes the eikonal equation to apply to all branches of \( \tau_F(v) \), corresponding to all stationary points of \( \tau(x; v) \) and not only those for first-returning photons.

Using \( \tau_F(v) = 2 \|x_F - v\| \), we rewrite Equation (7) as
\[
x_F = v - \left( \tau_F(v) / 4 \right) \nabla_v \tau_F(v).
\]

In this form, the Fermat flow equation states that an NLOS point \( x_F \in F(v) \) generating a Fermat path can be uniquely reconstructed from the corresponding visible point \( v \), the length \( \tau_F(v) \), and the gradient \( \nabla_v \tau_F(v) \). This reconstruction can be done with a simple geometric operation, by intersecting the sphere \( \text{Sph}(\tau_F(v)/2; v) \) with the line \( v - \lambda \nabla_v \tau_F(v)/2 \). If the Fermat path is also specular, \( x_F \in S(v) \), then we can also reconstruct the normal at \( x_F \) as \( \hat{n}(x_F) = -\nabla_v \tau_F(v)/2 \). This is shown in Figure 4.

**Non-confocal case.** We describe here a similar proposition for the non-confocal case, which we prove in the supplement. In this case, the Fermat pathlength function has two arguments, \( \tau_F(v_s, v_d) \). Then, as shown in Figure 4,
\[
\nabla_{v_s} \tau_F(v_s, v_d) = -\frac{x_F - v_i}{\|x_F - v_i\|},
\]
where \( v_i \) can be either of the two visible points \( v_s \) and \( v_d \).

**Gradient estimation.** Using Equation (9) requires knowing the gradient \( \nabla_v \tau_F(v) \), computed in the local coordinate frame of the visible surface \( V \) at \( v \). We cannot measure this gradient directly, but we can estimate it through interpolation. For simplicity, we discuss here only the case when the visible surface \( V \) is planar, deferring the general case for the supplement. When the visible surface \( V \) is planar, the local coordinate system at \( v \) can be assumed to be the \( x - y \) plane of the global coordinate system. Given a Fermat pathlength \( \tau_F(v) \) at a point \( v \), we can estimate its partial derivatives \( \partial \tau_F / \partial x \) and \( \partial \tau_F / \partial y \) by locally interpolating Fermat pathlengths from transients measured at nearby points on the plane. We can then infer the derivative with respect to \( z \) by noting that Equation (7) implies that \( \|\nabla_v \tau_F(v)\| = 2 \),
\[
\nabla_v \tau_F(v) = \left( \frac{\partial \tau_F}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \tau_F}{\partial y}, \sqrt{4 - \left( \frac{\partial \tau_F}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\partial \tau_F}{\partial y} \right)^2} \right)
\]

We note that Equation (11) fails when the uniqueness condition of Proposition 5 is not satisfied. In practice, this can only happen at isolated points \( v \) on the visible surface, corresponding to non-generic symmetries of the NLOS surface \( X \). Additionally, we note that the above interpolation procedure needs to be performed separately for each branch of the Fermat pathlength function \( \tau_F(v) \).
Figure 6: Comparison with groundtruth. We perform one-dimensional scans of 3D-printed objects, in a looking-around-the-corner configuration. For each object, we show a photograph under ambient light (left), and reconstruction results (red points) superimposed against the groundtruth mesh used to fabricate the object (middle and right).

Surface fitting. The above procedure produces an oriented point cloud, of density comparable to the density of measurements on \( V \). We can then use algorithms that take advantage of normal information to fit a surface representation (e.g., triangular mesh) to the point cloud with increased accuracy [23]. Given such an initial surface reconstruction, in the supplement we describe an optimization procedure, based on the theory of specular path perturbations [9, 17], that refines the fitted surface to account for possible errors due to inaccurate estimation of the gradients \( \nabla_v \tau_F (v) \).

4. Experiments

We discuss results from NLOS reconstruction experiments we have performed to validate and evaluate the Fermat flow algorithm. All experiments are based on measurements captured with two transient imaging setups, one operating at picosecond and the other at femtosecond temporal scales. We show additional experiments in the supplement.

4.1. Picosecond-scale experiments

Imaging system. We use a SPAD-based transient imaging system [11, 33, 31], consisting of a picosecond laser (NKT SuperK EXW-12), a SPAD detector (MPD module), and a time-correlated single photon counter (TCSPC, PicoQuant PicoHarp). The temporal binning resolution of the TCSPC unit is 4 ps, for an absolute upper bound in depth resolution of 1.2 mm. In practice, the resolution is lower, because of laser and TCSPC jitter. We use galvo mirrors to independently control viewpoint and illumination direction, and perform both confocal and non-confocal scanning in the looking-around-the-corner setting of Figure 1(a).

Comparison with groundtruth. We scan a rough specular kettle, shown in the left under ambient light. We reconstruct an oriented point cloud, shown in the middle from two views, where points are colored according to their normal. Finally, we fit a surface to the point cloud, shown to the right under two views.

Figure 7: Comparison of point cloud and surface reconstructions. We scan a rough specular kettle, shown in the left under ambient light. We reconstruct an oriented point cloud, shown in the middle from two views, where points are colored according to their normal. Finally, we fit a surface to the point cloud, shown to the right under two views.
structures for the remaining table-top objects. In all cases, the reconstruction closely matches the object shape, demonstrating the ability of our algorithm to handle a variety of complex geometry and reflectance combinations.

4.2. Femtosecond-scale experiments

Imaging system. We use a time-domain, full-frame optical coherent tomography system [12]. We use this system to perform confocal scans under both the looking-around-the-corner and looking-through-diffuser settings (Figure 1). We use spatially and temporally incoherent LED illumination, which allows us to combine transient imaging with diagonal probing [34]. In the context of confocal scanning, this means that we can simultaneously collect transients $I(\tau, v)$ at all points on the visible surface without scanning, as transient measurements taken at one point will not be contaminated with light emanating from a different point. Our implementation has depth resolution of $10 \mu$m.

Coin reconstructions. We perform experiments in both the looking-around-the-corner and looking-through-diffuser settings (Figure 1), where for the diffuser we use a thin sheet of paper. In both cases, the NLOS object is a US quarter, with the obverse side facing the visible surface. We place the coin at a distance of $10 \text{ mm}$ from the visible surface, and collect transient measurements on an area of about $40 \text{ mm} \times 40 \text{ mm}$, at an 1 MPixel grid of points. For validation, we additionally use the same setup to directly scan the coin without occlusion. Figure 1 shows our results. In both cases, we can reconstruct fine detail on the coin, sufficient to infer its denomination. The reconstructed detail is also in close agreement with the groundtruth shape measured with the coin directly in the line-of-sight.

5. Discussion

We discuss some limitations of our approach. Our reconstruction procedure does not require radiometric calibration, as it does not use intensity information, instead relying on estimation of the pathlengths where measured transients are discontinuous. Consequently, our reconstructions can be sensitive to inaccurate discontinuity detection. Reconstruction quality can additionally suffer if we do not have sufficiently dense measurements for estimating Fermat pathlength gradients through interpolation. Finally, using only pathlength information provides BRDF invariance, but it also means that we do not take advantage of information available in measured intensities about the NLOS scene. The theory we developed offers new insights into the NLOS imaging problem, linking it to classical areas such as specular and differential geometry, and providing ample opportunity for transfer of ideas from these areas to the NLOS imaging setting. By allowing us to treat NLOS reconstruction from a purely geometric perspective, our theory introduces a new methodology for tackling this problem, distinct from but complementary to approaches such as (elliptic) backprojection [48, 33] and analysis-by-synthesis [47], which focus on the radiometric aspects of the problem.

Interestingly, concurrent work [28] has uncovered an intriguing link between our and backprojection approaches, by showing that the latter cannot reconstruct NLOS points not on Fermat paths, even if those points otherwise contribute to measured transients. Therefore, both approaches reproduce the same part of the NLOS scene. Further exploration of connections between the geometric and backprojection approaches can help shed light into their fundamental limits and strengths, potentially by allowing us to derive results applicable to both classes of approaches using whichever mathematical framework (geometric, radiometric) is more convenient for analysis.

More broadly, an exciting future direction of research is combining the two classes of approaches, not only for NLOS imaging, but also for other related applications, including acoustic and ultrasound imaging [27], lensless imaging [50], and seismic imaging [41].
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