# Boundary Value Caching for Walk on Spheres



Bailey Miller\*, Rohan Sawhney\*, Keenan Crane<sup>+</sup>, and Ioannis Gkioulekas<sup>+</sup>





## Grid-Free Monte Carlo PDE Solvers

Walk on Spheres avoids meshing or global solves!









## Grid-Free Monte Carlo PDE Solvers

Walk on Spheres avoids meshing or global solves!









# Robustly handle meshes intended for visualization

### boundary representation

## (exploded view)





# Potential Flow Simulation





# Potential Flow Simulation







#### wind tunnel





#### wind tunnel



#### wind tunnel



## Noisy streamlines!









## boundary value caching





BACKGROUND



Path Tracing ReSTIR (unbiased)

#### **ReSTIR (biased)**

Reference



### Virtual Point Light Methods (VPLs)



### Virtual Point Light Methods (VPLs)



#### **Step 1:** Deposit radiance estimates

### Virtual Point Light Methods (VPLs)



#### **Step 1:** Deposit radiance estimates



**Step 2:** Reuse cached radiance estimates

# Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$ on $\Omega$ $u = g \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_D$ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = h \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_N$



# Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$ on $\Omega$ $u = g \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_D$ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = h \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_N$



# Laplace equation $\Delta u = 0$ on $\Omega$ $u = g \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_D$ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = h \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega_N$















# Neumann Data **Dirichlet** Data









# free-space Poisson kernel free-space Green kernel $u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial n} u(y) - G(x, y) \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial n}$























































METHOD



## Estimating Dirichlet Boundary Values

#### Walk on Stars [Sawhney et al. 2023]:





 $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ 

## Estimating Dirichlet Boundary Values $\hat{u}$

#### Walk on Stars [Sawhney et al. 2023]:




Spatial derivative **inside a ball** [Sawhney & Crane 2020]:

$$\nabla_{x} u(x) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} u(y) \ v(y) \ dy$$

$$\frac{du(x)}{dn_x} = n_x \cdot \nabla_x u(x)$$



Spatial derivative **inside a ball** [Sawhney & Crane 2020]:

$$\nabla_{x} u(x) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} u(y) \ v(y) \ dy$$

$$\frac{du(x)}{dn_x} = n_x \cdot \nabla_x u(x)$$



Spatial derivative **inside a ball** [Sawhney & Crane 2020]:

$$\nabla_{x} u(x) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} u(y) \ v(y) \ dy$$

$$\frac{du(x)}{dn_x} = n_x \cdot \nabla_x u(x)$$



Spatial derivative **inside a ball** [Sawhney & Crane 2020]:

$$\nabla_{x} u(x) = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\partial B} u(y) \ v(y) \ dy$$

$$\frac{du(x)}{dn_x} = n_x \cdot \nabla_x u(x)$$











### generate samples on boundary $\partial \Omega$



















# simple to implement trivially parallelizable unbiased + progressive





### Solution Estimates with BVC





### Gradient Estimates with BVC









### Gradient Estimates with BVC









### Source Term

# Generate cache samples for source values f inside domain: no random walks needed



boundary samples

source samples

 $\Delta u = f \quad \text{on } \Omega$ 



### Singularities



### Artifacts near the boundary due to lack of importance sampling



### Singularities



### Artifacts near the boundary due to lack of importance sampling

 $\partial G(x,z)/\partial n_z$ 





### Singularities



### Artifacts near the boundary due to lack of importance sampling







# VALIDATION & COMPARISONS





### Benefits of BVC



### Boundary Value Caching



### Walk on Stars



### Benefits of BVC



### Boundary Value Caching

Improved run-time efficiency (sharing global information)



### Walk on Stars



### Benefits of BVC



### Boundary Value Caching

Improved run-time efficiency (sharing global information) Suppressed noise (due to correlation)



### Walk on Stars



### Harmonic Interpolation of Texture Coordinates





### Like WoSt, BVC is **not** affected by quality of discretization

### Input boundary conditions



### Input boundary mesh





BEM

### Like WoSt, BVC is **not** affected by quality of discretization

### Input boundary conditions



### Input boundary mesh







BEM

### Like WoSt, BVC is **not** affected by quality of discretization

### Input boundary conditions



### Input boundary mesh









### Like WoSt, BVC is **not** affected by quality of discretization

### Input boundary conditions







### Input boundary mesh











### Output Sensitivity with BVC

### Can focus computation in local regions of interest





reference solution



### Neumann

Dirichlet

virtual boundary



### Error and Convergence





### Stratification











FUTURE WORK





### Any improvements to WoSt benefit BVC!



Any improvements to WoSt benefit BVC!

Principled approach to estimate  $\frac{du}{dn}$  on  $\partial \Omega_D$ 



Any improvements to WoSt benefit BVC!

Principled approach to estimate  $\frac{du}{dn}$  on  $\partial \Omega_D$ 

Splatting has quadratic complexity: - Barnes-Hut or Stochastic Lightcuts?


# Future Work

Any improvements to WoSt benefit BVC!

Principled approach to estimate  $\frac{du}{dn}$  on  $\partial \Omega_D$ 

Splatting has quadratic complexity: - Barnes-Hut or Stochastic Lightcuts?

Reuse information **during** a walk?



# Future Work

Any improvements to WoSt benefit BVC!

Principled approach to estimate  $\displaystyle rac{du}{dn}$  on  $\partial \Omega_D$ 

Splatting has quadratic complexity: - Barnes-Hut or Stochastic Lightcuts?

Reuse information **during** a walk?

Unified caching w BVC, MVC, Bidirectional WoS?





### [Bakbouk & Peers, EGSR 2023]





### pointwise





ВVС





## paper











